

**Subject:** Assessment Roll Status  
**From:** Ed Henning <mreded2@gmail.com>  
**Date:** 05/31/2018 06:59 AM  
**To:** JOHN WALKER <john@thescbd.com>

Hi John – I do think we will need the extension now. After further review and comparison of the list you found yesterday of parcels and assessments, I've begun a parcel by parcel comparison with the master dbase that was used for the 2015 MDP. In short, there is not a consistent difference (the 3.6% mentioned in my email last night) between 2015 and 2018 parcels and assessments – while the overall difference in ALL assessments is a plus 3.6%, the actual difference on a parcel by parcel basis varies all over the place with some lower, some higher and others exactly the same. This makes no sense. Also, there are 10 fewer parcels in the 2018 data list vs the 2015 dbase list used in the MDP.

Now my thinking is not that there was a Board approved increase totaling 3.6% since 2015, but that we're working from two different sets of data. All of the parcels and APN numbers from the 2015 data list still exist (I verified each one) and they all but a few appear to be in the BID boundaries. I did find a few "Zone 2" parcels included in the 2015 dbase that don't show within the boundary map. I'm checking this further to see if this amounts to the 10 "missing" parcels between 2015 and 2018.

The issue still remains is how were the 2018 assessments (on the list you found yesterday) computed or determined since so many of them vary from the original assessments from 2015. I'm good at detective work and will ultimately figure out what is going on but right now I have no comfort level in either set of data and parcels – i.e. the 2015 dbase or the 2018 list you found yesterday.

More time and true facts needed. If I can quote from Caddyshack: "RATFARTS!"

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10